

FILED

JUL 27 2005

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## RELATED CASE ORDER


RICHARD W. WISKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related or a *Sua Sponte* Judicial Referral for Purpose of Determining Relationship (Civil L.R. 3-12) has been filed. The time for filing an opposition or statement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to the earliest filed case below that bears my initials, I find that the more recently filed case(s) that I have initialed below are related to the case assigned to me, and such case(s) shall be reassigned to me. Any cases listed below that are not related to the case assigned to me are referred to the judge assigned to the next-earliest filed case for a related case determination.


C 05-03028 WDB Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc. -v- Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02669 MHP Lipton et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02699 JL Prohias v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02700 MHP Konieczka, et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02720 JCS Niehaus v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02721 JCS Hamilton v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 


C 05-02743 SC Brauch et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02758 EMC    Baxley v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02813 JL    Frazier et al v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02818 JL    Dickerson v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 


C 05-02823 EDL    The Harman Press v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 


C 05-02830 EDL    Shanghai 1930 Restaurant Partners, L.P. v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 


C 05-02831 EDL    Major League Softball, Inc. V. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02834 MHP    Allanoff v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02858 EDL    Law Offices of Laurel Stanley et al v. Intel Corporation


I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 

C 05-02859 WHA    Lazio Family Products, v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me. 


C 05-02882 MEJ Walker v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.



C 05-02897 EDL Stoltz v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.




C 05-02898 JCS Naigow v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.



C 05-02916 SC Hewson v. Intel Corporation

I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.



C 05-02957 MEJ Lang v. Intel Corporation


I find that the above case is related to the case assigned to me.



**ORDER**

Counsel are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the initials of the newly assigned judge immediately after the case number. Any case management conference in any reassigned case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, disclosures and report required by FRCivP 16 and 26 accordingly. Unless otherwise ordered, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be renoticed by the moving party before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order continue to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned judge.

Dated: 7/27/05

  
Judge Marilyn H. Patel

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**CLERK'S NOTICE**

**The court has reviewed the motion and determined that no cases are related and no reassessments shall occur.**

**Richard W. Wiering, Clerk**

**DATED: 1/27/05**

**By: Anthony W. Bowser**  
**Deputy Clerk**